UDC 550.51 DOI: 10.12737/stp-91202308

Received April 06, 2022 Accepted January 19, 2023

A QUIET DAY EMPIRICAL MODEL OF ELECTRON DENSITY IN THE INDIAN EQUATORIAL F-REGION

M. Chamua

Tinsukia College, Department of Physics, Assam, India, mchamua@gmail.com

P.K. Bhuyan

Dibrugarh University, Department of Physics, Assam, India, pkbhuyan@gmail.com

Abstract. In this paper, we present a quiet day empirical model of electron density (N_e) for the Indian equatorial zone at an altitude of 500 km. The model is applicable to all levels of solar activity and is based on the observation that the electron density in the F-region of the Indian zone is correlated with the *F*10.7 cm solar flux at each local time and in every month. Using this characteristic, we describe the model for electron density. In this model, we have used the least square fit and the polynomial fit. The electron density measured by the Retarding Potential Analyzer (RPA) on board the SROSS C2 satellite from 1995 to 2000 and FORMOSAT-1 (ROCSAT-1) satellite, operated by the National Space Organization (NSPO, now the Taiwan Space Agency

INTRODUCTION

In order to illustrate the properties of the ionosphere and improve prediction capabilities, empirical models of electron density, electron temperature, ion temperature, critical frequency of F2 layer (f_0 F2), peak height of F2 layer ($h_{\rm m}$ F2), etc. are very important. A large number of station-specific, regional, and global models of ionosphere based on in-situ measurements, incoherent scatter radar (ISR) measurements, middle and upper atmosphere (MU) radar measurements, etc. have been developed. An excellent review of many available empirical models has been presented by Bilitza [2002] (see also references therein). Among those models are the International Reference Ionosphere (IRI) [Bilitza, 1990, 2001], which was developed and periodically uploaded, and the International Union of Radio Science (URSI), which is the most widely used model. One empirical model providing the electron density profile is the $N_{\rm e}$ Quick model [Radicella, Zhang, 1995; Radicella, Leitinger, 2001; Leitinger et al., 2005]. Empirical models created using Incoherent Scatter Radar data are Millstone Hill (42.6° N, 288.5° W) [Holt et al., 2002] in the United States, Saint Santin [Zhang et al., 2004] in France (44.6° N, 2.2° E), Shigaraki (the MU radar site) [Zhang et al., 2007] in Japan (34.8° N, 136.1° E), Arecibo (18.3° N, 66.7° W) [Zhang et al., 2007] in Puerto Rico.

Ionization and peak density as represented by ionospheric total electron content (TEC) and $N_{\rm m}$ F2 normally exhibits a linear relationship with solar activity measured in terms of the 10.7 cm solar flux index F10.7 and the sunspot number R_z . However, there have been a number of reports which indicate that the linear relationK. Bhuyan

Dibrugarh University, Department of Physics, Assam, India,kalyanbhuyan@gmail.com

(TASA)) of the Republic of China (Taiwan), from 1999 to 2004 is used to derive the relationship between N_e and F10.7. The average altitudes of SROSS-C2 and FORMOSAT-1 are 500 km and 600 km respectively. Due to this height difference, the observed data obtained by FORMOSAT-1 is normalized to match the SROSS-C2 data. The model is compared with the observations and is found to be in good agreement with them. It is applicable to quiet ($A_p < 15$) conditions and is limited to a fixed altitude of 500 km within the latitude range of 10° S to 10° N around the 75° E meridian.

Keywords: equatorial ionosphere; modeling; solar activity cycle; mathematical and numerical techniques.

ship does not hold well near solar maximum, i.e. for very high values of F10.7 [Titheridge, 1978; Bhuyan et al., 1983; Lakshmi et al., 1988; Kane, 1992; Rishbeth, 1993]. Balan et al. [1993, 1994] have shown that during intense solar cycle 21 when F10.7 frequently exceeded 300 and reached a maximum value of 367, TEC and related F10.7 increased nonlinearly. The observed nonlinear variation of ionization density with solar flux has been theoretically investigated by Balan et al. [1994] using the Sheffield University Plasmasphere Ionosphere model. The relative importance of neutral winds, neutral densities, and solar EUV fluxes in the observed nonlinear phenomenon has been explored. It has been found that the saturation of ionization is caused by the saturation of production of ionization due to the nonlinear increase in solar EUV fluxes while nonlinear increase in neutral density seems to have no effect on the saturation. In other words, the ionosphere (and atmosphere) responds linearly to solar EUV (or UV) inputs and F10.7. Using SROSS C2 and FORMOSAT-1 data, we have found a linear relationship between the electron density $N_{\rm e}$ and the F10.7 cm solar flux from solar minimum to maximum of solar cycle 23. In this paper, we have derived a model of $N_{\rm e}$ for $A_{\rm p} < 15$ based on the best linear positive correlation between $N_{\rm e}$ and F10.7. We have used SROSS C2 data for the period 1995-2000 and FORMOSAT-1 data for the period 2001-2003 to derive this quiet day model. The model has been developed using all data in between ±10° magnetic latitude for $A_p < 15$ over the Indian subcontinent.

DESCRIPTION OF THE MODEL

Using the best correlation between $N_{\rm e}$ and F10.7, we present a model of the electron density in this paper. In this model, we have used the least square fit and the polynomial fit. The model is a quiet day model $(A_p < 15)$, and it operated from 1995 to 2003 at an average altitude of 500 km. The latitude range of the model is 10° S to 10° N around the 75° E meridian. Since the average altitude of the satellite FORMOSAT-1 was 600 km, we have normalized the FORMOSAT-1 $N_{\rm e}$ data to the altitude to match it with the SROSS C2 data. For the period 1999–2000, we have both SROSS-C2 and FORMOSAT-1 data. In solar cycle 23, the F10.7 cm flux varied from a minimum of 69 to a maximum of 237, and solar activity was not so intense. The yearly average *F*10.7 values for 1999, 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003, and 2004 are approximately 143, 182, 185, 178, 129, and 107 respectively. Solar activity during the period 1999-2004 varies from moderate to slightly high levels. Therefore, for the period 1999-2000, we have calculated the electron density difference for an altitude difference of 100 km. This electron density difference was subtracted from the electron density obtained from the FORMOSAT-1 data at the peak of solar cycle 23.

The modeled density can be obtained from the following equation:

$$N_{\rm e} = mf + c, \tag{1}$$

where *f* is the monthly mean *F*10.7 cm solar flux, N_e is the monthly mean electron density for the current month; m and c are given by the following equations:

$$m = a_1 l^4 + a_2 l^3 + a_3 l^2 + a_4 l + a_5, \tag{2}$$

$$c = b_1 l^4 + b_2 l^3 + b_3 l^2 + b_4 l + b_5, \tag{3}$$

where l=0, 1, 2, ..., 23 is the local time. Since we have found the polynomial fit as the best, we use the polynomial approximation in local time.

The coefficients a_1 , a_2 , and b_1 , b_2 are given in Table 1 for each of three seasons: summer, winter, and equinoxes. Coefficients are calculated for each season from monthly mean F10.7. We can calculate the modeled electron density for each month and for all levels of solar activity (low, medium, and high) over the Indian equatorial zone from monthly mean F10.7. For all summer months, the coefficient will be same, but monthly mean N_e for each local time is calculated from monthly mean F10.7. The same procedure is performed for the other seasons.

MODEL RESULTS AND VERIFICATIONS

Figure 1 shows superimposed plots of modeled and observed (SROSS-C2 and FORMOSAT-1) electron densities as function of local time for June, December, March, and September for three levels of solar activity: low (left panel), moderate (middle panel), and high (right panel). The daytime electron density starts increasing after sunrise becomes maximum at 12:00–15:00 hrs. The observed and modeled electron densities at noon are listed in Table 2 for comparison. The nighttime electron density is underestimated by the model for all levels of solar activity and all

Table 1. Coefficients a_1 , a_2 , and b_1 , b_2 , or each of the three seasons: summer, winter, and equinoxes

	Summer	Winter	Equinoxes	
a_1	$0.0082 \cdot 10^8$	$0.0072 \cdot 10^8$	$0.0089 \cdot 10^8$	
a_2	$-0.4518 \cdot 10^8$	$-0.3949 \cdot 10^8$	$-0.4933 \cdot 10^8$	
<i>a</i> ₃	$7.4041 \cdot 10^8$	$6.5785 \cdot 10^8$	$8.3164 \cdot 10^8$	
a_4	$-31.299 \cdot 10^8$	$-29.429 \cdot 10^8$	$-38.353 \cdot 10^8$	
a_5	$42.719 \cdot 10^8$	$54.987 \cdot 10^8$	$63.024 \cdot 10^8$	
b_1	$0.0036 \cdot 10^{10}$	$0.0047 \cdot 10^{10}$	$0.003 \cdot 10^{10}$	
b_2	$-0.2184 \cdot 10^{10}$	$-0.2406 ext{x} 10^{10}$	$-0.1819 \cdot 10^{10}$	
b_3	$3.9022 \cdot 10^{10}$	$3.7718 \cdot 10^{10}$	$3.3411 \cdot 10^{10}$	
b_4	$-17.859 \cdot 10^{10}$	$16.097 \cdot 10^{10}$	$-16.68 \cdot 10^{10}$	
b_5	$27.46 \cdot 10^{10}$	$34.233 \cdot 10^{10}$	$37.847 \cdot 10^{10}$	

seasons. For low solar activity, the model gives negative electron density values for nighttime. Table 3 shows the observed and modeled values at 02:00 hr for comparison. Since this model is a regional model for the Indian region at the altitude of 500 km, the modelled data could not be compared with the electron density obtained from other satellites such as ISR or SWARM as they cannot provide N_e for all local times over the Indian zone. Therefore, the model presented in this paper cannot be compared with observations from other satellites.

DISCUSSION

The regional and global empirical models of the ionosphere that have been developed so far across the world are based on various mathematical and numerical techniques. The St. Santin incoherent scatter radar models [Zhang et al., 2004] and the Millstone Hill ISR models [Holt et al., 2002] have been created using a bin-fit technique. Liu et al. [2008] have designed an empirical model for the ionospheric propagation factor M (3000) F2 based on the empirical orthogonal function analysis method. Jain et al. [1996], Bhuyan and Baruah [1996], Unnikrishnan et al. [2002] have applied the harmonic analysis to regional empirical modeling of the ionospheric electron content (IEC) over Lunping (25° N and 121.2° E), foF2 over India, and TEC over Palehua respectively. Oyama et al. [2004] have developed an empirical model for electron temperature, using measurements on board the Hinotori satellite; the model is based on spline-approximation of measured electron temperature $T_{\rm e}$ in 5-dimentional space comprised of solar activity F10.7, month of the year, local time, geographic longitude, and geomagnetic latitude. Bhuyan and Chamua [2006] have presented an empirical model of $T_{\rm e}$ for the Indian equatorial and low latitudes using SROSS C2 RPA measurements; it is based on the regression analysis.

In this paper, we present a quiet day empirical model of electron density over the Indian zone, using the least square fit and the polynomial fit technique. Comparison between the model and the observed data shows that the model reproduces most of the features seen in measured electron density. For example, the daytime peak density



Figure 1. Superimposed plots of modeled and observed electron densities as function of local time for low (left panel), moderate (middle panel), and high (right panel) solar activity

Months	Low solar activity		Moderate solar activity		High solar activity	
	observed	modeled	observed	modeled	observed	modeled
June	$1.45 \cdot 10^{11}$	$1.39 \cdot 10^{11}$	$1.09 \cdot 10^{12}$	$1.06 \cdot 10^{12}$	$2.29 \cdot 10^{12}$	$2.24 \cdot 10^{12}$
December	$2.53 \cdot 10^{11}$	$2.53 \cdot 10^{11}$	$5.94 \cdot 10^{11}$	$5.78 \cdot 10^{11}$	$1.34 \cdot 10^{12}$	$1.41 \cdot 10^{12}$
March	$4.31 \cdot 10^{11}$	$3.93 \cdot 10^{11}$	$1.22 \cdot 10^{12}$	$1.18 \cdot 10^{12}$	$2.46 \cdot 10^{12}$	$2.43 \cdot 10^{12}$
September	$3.32 \cdot 10^{11}$	$2.94 \cdot 10^{11}$	$9.69 \cdot 10^{11}$	$9.33 \cdot 10^{11}$	$1.76 \cdot 10^{12}$	$1.73 \cdot 10^{12}$

Table 2: Observed and modeled electron densities at noon

Months	Low solar activity		Moderate solar activity		High solar activity	
Wommis	observed	modeled	observed	modeled	observed	modeled
June	$7.61 \cdot 10^9$	$-1.30 \cdot 10^{10}$	$1.14 \cdot 10^{11}$	$3.42 \cdot 10^{10}$	$2.50 \cdot 10^{11}$	$2.94 \cdot 10^{11}$
December	$2.74 \cdot 10^{10}$	$-1.41 \cdot 10^{10}$	$1.45 \cdot 10^{11}$	$6.45 \cdot 10^{10}$	$3.76 \cdot 10^{11}$	$1.93 \cdot 10^{11}$
March	$2.56 \cdot 10^{10}$	$-3.82 \cdot 10^{10}$	$2.28 \cdot 10^{11}$	$2.56 \cdot 10^{11}$	$5.50 \cdot 10^{11}$	$2.05 \cdot 10^{11}$
September	1.4.	$-4.99 \cdot 10^{10}$	$1.64 \cdot 10^{11}$	$2.61 \cdot 10^{10}$	$3.68 \cdot 10^{11}$	$1.21 \cdot 10^{11}$

Table 3. Observed and modeled electron densities at 02:00 hr



Figure 2. Comparison of the observed and modeled data with IRI-16 (International Reference Ionosphere-16) model for low (left panel), moderate (middle panel) and high (right panel) solar activity

occurs around 13:00 hr in summer and equinoxes, while in winter the peak density occurs two hours later at ~15:00 hr. However, the nighttime modeled electron densities are very low compared to the observed N_e during low solar activity for all seasons.

Figure 2 compares the observed and modeled data with IRI-16 (International Reference Ionosphere-16) model for all the three levels of solar activity, for summer, winter, and equinox months. From the figure it is seen that during low solar activity except for the equinox months and daytime (10:00-15:00 hrs) IRI overestimates observed and modeled electron densities for all local times. For moderate solar activity except for the winter month, the daytime electron density is underestimated by IRI for summer and equinox months. At 14:00 hr, IRI underestimates observed and modeled N_e by about 40 % in June, by about 43 % in March, and by about 38 % in September. During high solar activity, the daytime electron density is underestimated by IRI for summer, winter, and equinox months, whereas during nighttime IRI underestimates N_e for summer and equinox months, but overestimates it in the winter month.

At 14:00 hr, IRI underestimates N_e by about 28 % in December, by 62 % in June, by 57 % in March, and by 66 % in September. In December, N_e predicted by IRI-16

shows an evening enhancement for low, medium, and high solar activity. Also in December, the daytime maximum density occurs around 15:00 hr in case of observed and modeled data, whereas IRI predicts daytime maximum density around 13:00 hr.

CONCLUSION

The electron density measured at ~500 km by the SROSS C2 satellite from 1995 to 2000 and at ~600 km by the FORMOSAT-1 satellite from 2001 to 2004 is used to derive an empirical model of electron density applicable to the Indian equatorial and low-latitude regions. It is based on the observation that the electron density in the F-region of the Indian equatorial and low-latitude ionosphere has positive correlation with the F10.7 cm solar flux.

The empirical model described in this paper is successful in reproducing the daytime electron densities for all season and all levels of solar activity. However, the nighttime electron density is underestimated by the model for all levels of solar activity and for all months. The drawback of the model is that it gives negative electron density values for low solar activity at nighttime.

Except for the equinox months, the IRI overestimates observed and modeled electron densities for all local times for low solar activity. During moderate solar activity, IRI underestimates the observed and modeled daytime electron densities in June, March, and September and overestimates them in December. At high solar activity, the daytime electron density is underestimated by IRI for all the four months, i. e. June, December, March, and September. IRI overestimates the nighttime electron density in December and underestimates it in June, March, and September. Bhuyan et al. [2003] have reported that IRI overestimates N_e at nearly all local times and in all seasons for low solar activity.

This model can be used as a regional alternative for electron density for almost full solar cycle. Nonetheless, the model has some limitations. It is limited to the fixed altitude of 500 km within the latitude range of 10° N to 10° S around the 75° E meridian and is applicable to quiet conditions ($A_p < 15$). Since the International Reference Ionosphere cannot correctly predict $N_{\rm e}$ over the Indian subcontinent, the empirical model described in this paper can be incorporated in IRI for accurate prediction of the electron density over this region. This model can be helpful in developing an ionospheric map over the Indian subcontinent with the aid of other available resources such as the International Reference Ionosphere (IRI) model, other observed data over the region at different altitudes. The ionospheric map depicting accurate values of $h_{\rm m}$ F2 and $f_{\rm o}$ F2 along with the electron density profile at any location over the Indian subcontinent will be a useful tool for high frequency (HF) communications and space weather applications.

Authors wish to express their sincere thanks to National Space Organization (NSPO) for the FOR-MOSAT-1 data.

REFERENCES

Balan N., Bailey G.J., Jayachandran B. Ionospheric evidence for a non-linear relationship between the solar EUV and 10.7 cm fluxes during an intense solar cycle. *Planet. Space Sci.* 1993, vol. 41, pp. 141–145. DOI: 10.1016/0032-0633(93)90043-2.

Balan N., Bailey G.J., Jenkins B., Rao P.B., Moffett R.J. Variations of ionospheric ionization and related solar fluxes during an intense solar cycle. *J. Geophys. Res.* 1994, vol. 99, pp. 2243–2253. DOI: 10.1029/93JA02099.

Bhuyan P.K., Baruah S. A regional mapping of the f_0 F2 over India as an additional input to IRI. *Adv. Space Res.* 1996, vol. 18, no. 6, pp. 205–208. DOI: 10.1016/0273-1177(95)00924-8.

Bhuyan P.K., Chamua M. An empirical model of electron temperature in the Indian topside ionosphere for solar minimum based on SROSS C2 RPA data. *Adv. Space Res.* 2006, vol. 37, pp. 897–902. DOI: 10.1016/j.asr.2005.09.016.

Bhuyan P.K., Tyagi T.R., Singh L., Somayajulu Y.V. Ionospheric electron content measurements at a northern low midlatitude station through half a solar cycle. *J. Radio and Space Phys.* 1983, vol. 12, pp. 84–93.

Bhuyan P.K., Chamua M., Bhuyan K., Subrahmanyam P., Garg S.C. Diurnal, seasonal and latitudinal variation of electron density in the topside F-region of the Indian zone ionosphere at solar minimum and comparison with the IRI. *J. Atmos. Solar-Terr. Phys.* 2003, vol. 65, iss.3, pp. 359–368. DOI: 10.1016/S1364-6826(02)00294-8.

Bilitza D. International Reference Ionosphere. Rep. NSSDC/WDC-R&S 90-22. *World Data for Rockets and Satellites*. National Space Science Data Centre. Greenbelt, Md. 1990.

Bilitza D. International Reference Ionosphere 2000. *Radio Sci.* 2001, vol. 36, iss. 2, pp. 261–275. DOI: 10.1029/2000 RS002432.

Bilitza D. Ionospheric models for radio propagation studies. *Rev. Radio Sci. 1999–2002.* edited by W.R. Stone, IEEE and Wiley. 2002, pp. 625–679.

Holt J.M., Zhang S.-R., Buonsanto M.J. Regional and local ionospheric models based on Millstone Hill incoherent scatter radar data. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 2002, vol. 29, no. 8. DOI: 10.1029/2002GL014678.

Jain S., Vijay S.K., Gwal A.K. An empirical model for IEC over Lunping. *Adv. Space Res.* 1996, vol. 18, no. 6, pp. 263–266. DOI: 10.1016/0273-1177(95)00935-3.

Kane K.P. Solar cycle variation of f_0 F2. J. Atmos. Terr. Phys. 1992, vol. 54, pp. 1201–1218. DOI: 10.1016/0021-9169(92)90145-B.

Lakshmi D.R., Reddy B.M., Dabas R.S. On the possible use of recent EUV data for ionospheric predictions. *J. Atmos. Terr. Phys.* 1988, vol. 50, no. 3, pp. 207–213. DOI: 10.1016/0021-9169(88)90069-4.

Leitinger R., Zhang M.L., Radicella S.M. An improved bottomside for the ionospheric electron density model Ne-Quick. *Ann. Geophys.* 2005, vol. 48, no. 3, pp. 525–534. DOI: 10.4401/ag-3217.

Liu C., Zhang M.-L., Wan W., Liu L., Ning B. Modeling M (3000) F2 based on empirical orthogonal function analysis method. *Radio Sci.* 2008, vol. 43, RS1003. DOI: 10.1029/2007RS003694.

Oyama K.-I., Marinov P., Kutiev I., Watanabe S. Low latitude model of T_e at 600 km based on Hinotori satellite data. *Adv. Space Res.* 2004, vol. 34, pp. 2004–2009. DOI: 10.1016/j.asr.2004.07.013.

Radicella S.M., Zhang M.L., The improved DGR analytical model of electron density height profile and total electron content in the ionosphere. *Ann. Geophys.* 1995, vol. 38 (1), pp. 35–41. DOI: 10.4401/ag-4130.

Radicella S.M., Leitinger R. The evolution of the DGR approach to model electron density profiles. *Adv. Space Res.* 2001, vol. 27, no. 1, pp. 35–40. DOI: 10.1016/S0273-1177(00)00138-1.

Rishbeth H. Day-to-day ionospheric variations in a period of high solar activity. *J. Atmos. Terr. Phys.* 1993, vol. 55, iss. 2, pp. 165–171. DOI: 10.1016/0021-9169(93)90121-E.

Titheridge J.E. The electron content of the southern midlatitude ionosphere, 1965–1971. J. Atmos. Terr. Phys. 1978, vol. 35, iss. 5, pp. 981–1001. DOI: 10.1016/0021-9169(73)90077-9.

Unnikrishnan K., Nair R.B., Venugopal C. Harmonic analysis and an empirical model for TEC over Palehua. J. Atmos. Solar-Terr. Phys. 2002, vol. 64, no. 17, pp. 1833–1840. DOI: 10.1016/S1364-6826(02)00187-6.

Zhang S.-R., Holt J.M., Zalucha A.M. Midlatitude ionospheric plasma temperature climatology and empirical model based on Saint Santin incoherent scatter radar data from 1966 to 1987. *J. Geophys. Res. Lett.* 2004, vol. 109, A11311. DOI: 10.1029/ 2004JA010709.

Zhang S.-R., John M.H., Bilitza D.K., Eyken T.V., Mc Geady M., Amory-Mazaudier C., Fukao S., Sulzer M. Multiple-site comparisons between models of incoherent scatter radar and IRI. *Adv. Space Res.* 2007, vol. 39, no. 5, pp. 910–917. DOI: 10.1016/j.asr.2006.05.027.

How to cite this article:

Chamua M., Bhuyan P.K., Bhuyan K. A quiet day empirical model of electron density in the Indian equatorial F-region. *Solar-Terrestrial Physics*. 2023. Vol. 9. Iss. 1. P. 68–72. DOI: 10.12737/stp-91202308.