Alfvén ship waves: high-\textit{m} ULF pulsations in the magnetosphere generated by a moving plasma inhomogeneity
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Abstract. The generation of a high-\textit{m} Alfvén wave by substorm injected energetic particles in the magnetosphere is studied. The wave is supposed to be emitted by an alternating current created by the drifting particle cloud or ring current inhomogeneity. It is shown that the wave appears in some azimuthal location simultaneously with the particle cloud arrival at the same spot. The value of the azimuthal wave number is determined as \( m \sim \omega / \omega_d \), where \( \omega \) is the eigen-frequency of the standing Alfvén wave and \( \omega_d \) is the particle drift frequency. The wave propagates westward, in the direction of the proton drift. Under the reasonable assumption about the density of the energetic particles, the amplitude of the generated wave is close to the observed amplitudes of poloidal ULF pulsations.
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1 Introduction

Alfvén waves in the range of Pc3–5 can be categorized into the waves with large and small azimuthal wave numbers \( m \). Recently, the high-\textit{m} waves were observed with CLUSTER (Eriksson et al., 2005, 2006; Schäfer et al., 2007) and radars (Fenrich et al., 1995; Yeoman et al., 2000; Wright et al., 2001; Baddeley et al., 2002). The low-\textit{m} waves are generated by the resonant interaction with the fast mode, propagating from the outer boundary of the magnetosphere. This generation mechanism is ineffective for pulsations with \( m \geq 1 \) (azimuthally small scale waves), because in this case only an exponentially small part of the fast mode energy penetrates into the magnetosphere (Glassmeier, 1995). Thus, other sources of the wave energy must be found. Substorm injected protons, drifting in the magnetosphere, appear to be good candidates. It is usually assumed that high-\textit{m} waves are excited by unstable proton populations with energies from 10 to 150 keV by means of the drift-bounce instability (Karpman et al., 1977). An example of this unstable distribution function is the bump-on-tail distribution.

There are a number of arguments to support this suggestion. One of them is the coincidence of the directions of the azimuthal phase velocity of the high-\textit{m} pulsations and the proton drift velocity (Fenrich et al., 1995; Yeoman et al., 2000; Baddeley et al., 2005b; Glassmeier, 1980). Besides, both velocities depend on the radial coordinate almost in the same way (Allan et al., 1982, 1983). There is some evidence of statistical relations between the high-\textit{m} pulsations and ring current intensifications (Anderson, 1993; Yeoman et al., 2000). Association of the high-\textit{m} waves with nonmonotonic particle distributions have been observed by Hughes et al. (1978), Glassmeier et al. (1999), Wright et al. (2001) and statistically studied by Baddeley et al. (2002, 2004, 2005a,b).

The bump-on-tail distribution is usually supposed to be a result of a substorm injection: faster protons reach a given point on the azimuthal coordinate earlier than lower energy ones, so high-energy particles are added to the local background plasma at a higher rate than low-energy particles (Karpman et al., 1977; Glassmeier et al., 1999). Indeed, several cases were observed when the wave appeared in some azimuthal location simultaneously with the cloud of the particle injected during substorm arrival in the same spot (Chisham et al., 1992; Wright et al., 2001).

This mechanism of formation of the unstable distribution presupposes that the bump-on-tail is the natural consequence of the nonstationarity of the injection process. But the azimuthally drifting injected cloud (which can also be considered as a moving inhomogeneity of the ring current) represents an alternating current, which is able to emit the Alfvén wave itself (Akhiezer et al., 1967, 1975). In a magnetospheric context, this generation mechanism was first
considered by Zolotukhina (1974) and Guglielmi and Zolotukhina (1980). A similar problem has been considered for the magnetosphere of Jupiter, where its moon Io acts as the moving wave emitter (Neubauer, 1980). This mechanism resembles the generation of waves on the water surface by a moving ship (the analogy of whistlers and a ship wave has been considered by Gurnett, 1995).

Then, the drift-bounce instability does not explain some essential features of the high-\(m\) waves. Thus, observed waves have definite \(m\) numbers, although the weekly growth rate depends on this value (Mager and Klimushkin, 2005). Consequently, this instability cannot select a narrow range of the \(m\) numbers, contrary to observations. Even the direction of the azimuthal phase velocity of the observed waves cannot be explained: the instability can generate the waves propagating in both azimuthal directions (Mager and Klimushkin, 2005). Finally, owing to transformation of poloidal Alfvén waves into toroidal ones, the instability will be favorable for amplification of toroidal rather than poloidal oscillations (Klimushkin, 2000, 2007; Klimushkin and Mager, 2004). Additional hints that some of the observed high-\(m\) pulsations have been generated by nonstationary current are also reported by Pilipenko et al. (2001).

Our paper studies this generation mechanism in the Earth’s magnetosphere. In contrast to the previous efforts in this direction (Akhiezer et al., 1967, 1975; Zolotukhina, 1974; Guglielmi and Zolotukhina, 1980; Neubauer, 1980; Pilipenko et al., 2001), where only a uniform background was considered, we explore a two-dimensionally inhomogeneous model of the magnetosphere with plasma and magnetic field non-uniformity along and across with field lines taken into account. Besides, as opposed to some earlier papers (Zolotukhina, 1974; Neubauer, 1980), the field lines are considered to intersect the highly conductive ionospheric plasma, which results in the emergence of a standing wave structure along the field lines. In contrast to our previous paper (Mager and Klimushkin, 2007), here we incorporated the dependence of the drift velocity on the radial coordinate: this velocity is supposed to grow with the L-shell.

2 Formulation of the problem

The method for setting up a problem is as follows. At some initial time instant \(t = 0\) a cloud of particles is injected into the magnetosphere. The particles are drifting in the azimuthal direction. It is required to obtain an expression for the wave amplitude and to find the spatio-temporal structure and polarization of the wave field.

The magnetosphere is considered as axially-symmetric and bounded by the highly conductive ionosphere of the Southern and Northern Hemispheres. The cloud of the injected particles is assumed to be narrowly localized in azimuth but distributed over the entire range of L-shells, i.e. in the radial direction. The source is also distributed along field lines between conjugated points of the ionosphere. Zolotukhina (1974) and Neubauer (1980) considered the source compact (localized) in all directions.

The drift angular velocity \(\omega_d\) is assumed to be much less than the characteristic Alfvén eigenfrequency. In particular, it means that the velocity of the source is much less than the Alfvén speed. It corresponds to the energies of the order of several tens of keV.

Besides, we assumed the drift angular velocity \(\omega_d\) to increase with the radial coordinate (L-shell). For simplicity, we even put \(\omega_d\) to be proportional to the radial coordinate, but we suppose that our results are generally valid for any increasing functions \(\omega_d(L)\). The most common instance is probably the case when the angular drift speed decreases with the L-shell, because in this case the injected protons conserve the first adiabatic invariant. But, as we will show in the last section, the case considered in the present paper happens to be more interesting, since it shows a rather unexpected feature of the wave field temporal evolution, which can be used for the verification of our model. On the other hand, this case can also be realized in the magnetosphere under some conditions (e.g. Southwood, 1980), when there is loss of injected protons. The case when injected protons have a drift velocity constant with radius has been considered in our earlier paper (Mager and Klimushkin, 2007).

Our approach is based on the theory of eigenoscillations of the axisymmetric magnetosphere developed by Leonovich and Mazur (1997) and Klimushkin et al. (2004) and uses the general approach by Akhiezer et al. (1967) and Akhiezer et al. (1975). A time-dependent external current (formed by the moving charged particle cloud) is assumed to be the source term of the wave equation. The particle density of the cloud is considered to be small compared to the background density, which allows us to consider the waves in the linear approximation. The current (wave generator) is considered to be given, that is we neglect the feedback of the generated wave on the current. This assumption is probably valid at the earlier stages of the wave field evolution (Akhiezer et al., 1967). On the other hand, we do not consider the evolution within the first few bounce periods, when the cloud is continuing to spread along the field lines and the parallel structure of the wave has not been settled yet. This time is very short because the drift velocity is much less than the thermal particle velocity, so this limitation is not too severe.

3 Main equations

Let us introduce a curvilinear coordinate system \(\{x^1, x^2, x^3\}\), in which the field lines play the role of the coordinate lines \(x^3\), i.e. such lines, along which the other two coordinates are invariant (recall that the superscripts and subscripts denote contravariant and covariant coordinates, respectively). In this coordinate system the stream lines are the coordinate lines \(x^2\), and the surfaces of constant pressure (magnetic shells)
are the coordinate surfaces $x^1=\text{const}$ (Fig. 1). The coordinates $x^1$ and $x^2$ have the role of the radial and azimuthal coordinates, and to represent them we shall use the McIlwain parameter $L$ and the azimuthal angle $\varphi$, respectively. It is convenient to choose a direction of the azimuthal coordinate coinciding with the proton drift direction. In order for the coordinate system to remain right-handed, the $x^3$ axis must be directed opposite to the ambient magnetic field. The physical length along a field line is expressed in terms of an increase of the corresponding coordinate as $dl_3=\sqrt{g_3}dx^3$, where $g_3$ is the component of the metric tensor, and $\sqrt{g_3}$ is the Lamé coefficient. Similarly, $dl_1=\sqrt{g_1}dx^1$, and $dl_2=\sqrt{g_2}dx^2$. The determinant of the metric tensor is $g=g_1g_2g_3$. The equilibrium values of the magnetic field and plasma density are designated as $B$ and $\rho$; $\xi$ is the displacement of plasma from the equilibrium position, $E$, $b$ and $j$ are the electric field, magnetic field, and current of the wave. The source of the oscillations is a nonstationary external (azimuthal) current $j_{\text{ext}}$, formed by drifting substorm injected particles. The stationary current is absent in the cold plasma approximation.

In this approximation, the linearized equation of small oscillations takes the form

$$\rho \frac{\partial^2 \xi}{\partial t^2} - \frac{1}{c} j \times B = 0. \tag{1}$$

The electrodynamic values are interconnected by the equations

$$\nabla \times b = \frac{4\pi}{c} j + \frac{4\pi}{c} j_{\text{ext}} \tag{2}$$

(Ampère law),

$$\nabla \times E = -\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial b}{\partial t} \tag{3}$$

(Maxwell equation),

$$E = \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial t} \times B \tag{4}$$

(frozen-in condition). It is worth noting that the outer current appeared only in Eq. (2) (Akhiere et al., 1975). Using Eqs. (1–4), we obtain the equation for the wave electric field $E$:

$$\frac{1}{A^2} \frac{\partial^2 E}{\partial t^2} - \nabla \times \nabla \times E = -\frac{4\pi}{c^2} \frac{\partial j_{\text{ext}}}{\partial t}, \tag{5}$$

where $A=B/\sqrt{4\pi \rho}$ is the Alfven speed. Due to infinite plasma conductivity, the parallel electric field is absent, thus the wave’s electric field lies on surfaces orthogonal to field lines. The electric field of the Alfven mode can be represented in the form

$$E = -\nabla_{\perp} \Phi, \tag{6}$$

where $\Phi$ is a scalar function (“potential”), and $\nabla_{\perp}$ is the transverse nabla operator. Let us substitute Eq. (6) into

\[ \mathcal{L}_A \Phi = -\frac{4\pi}{c^2} \sqrt{g_2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} j_{\text{ext}}^2. \]

Here $j_{\text{ext}}^2=j_{\text{ext}}/\sqrt{g_2}$ is the contra-variant azimuthal projection of the vector $j_{\text{ext}}$, and

$$\mathcal{L}_A = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} \left[ -\frac{\sqrt{g_1}}{g_2} \frac{1}{A^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^3} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^3} \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1}$$

$$+ \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2} \left[ -\frac{\sqrt{g_1}}{g_2} \frac{1}{A^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^3} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^3} \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2}$$

is an Alfven differential operator. Thus, we have an inhomogeneous differential equation which describes Alfven oscillations generated by the external current. The boundary conditions are chosen as

$$\Phi|_{x^1,x^2 \to \pm \infty} = 0, \quad \Phi|_{x^3} = 0. \tag{8}$$

Here the second condition corresponds to the full wave reflection from the ionosphere ($x^3$ denotes the points of the intersection of the field line with the ionosphere).

The cloud of drifting particles comprising the external current is assumed to be narrowly localized in azimuth, that is the contra-variant azimuthal projection of the external current

$$j_{\text{ext}}^2 = e n_0 \omega_d \delta(\psi - \omega_d t) \Theta(t), \tag{9}$$

where $\omega_d(x^1)$ is the bounce-averaged angular drift velocity, $e$ and $n_0$ are the electric charge and number density of the particles, $\Theta(t)$ is the Heaviside theta-function, denoting the instant when the source is “switched on” (injection of particles into the magnetosphere), $\psi$ is the azimuthal angle, which can be used as the $x^2$ coordinate. The physical component of the current can be obtained by using the linear drift velocity

\[ j_{\text{ext}} \approx e n_0 \omega_d \delta(\psi - \omega_d t) \Theta(t) \partial_\psi \Phi. \]
V = \sqrt{g/2} \omega_d$ instead of the angular velocity in Eq. (9). The injection takes place at the instant $t=0$. For simplicity, we put the drift velocity to be proportional to the radial coordinate, $\omega_d(x^1)=\Omega_d x^1$, where $\Omega_d$ does not depend on $x^1$. As is easy to see that in the course of time the cloud will be stretched into a spiral (Fig. 2).

In order to solve the wave Eq. (7), we perform the Fourier-transform of this equation over $\varphi$ and $t$ (see Appendix A). As a result, we obtain a differential equation only with respect to two variables, $x^1$ and $x^3$:

$$\hat{L}_A \Phi_{m\omega} = \hat{q}_{m\omega},$$  

(10)

where $\omega$ and $m$ are the parameters of the Fourier transform over time (frequency) and azimuthal angle (azimuthal wave number), and

$$\hat{q}_{m\omega} = -2m\omega \sqrt{g} e^{\eta_0} \omega_d$$

$$\times \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \Theta(t') \exp(i\omega t' - im\omega_d t') \, dt'.$$

In Eq. (10), $\hat{L}_A$ is the Fourier-image of the Alfvén operator $L_A$ analogous to the Alfvénic operator for the monochromatic wave with frequency $\omega$ and azimuthal wave number $m$, defined as

$$\hat{L}_A = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} \hat{L}_T(\omega) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} - m^2 \hat{L}_P(\omega),$$

where

$$\hat{L}_T(\omega) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^3} \frac{g_2}{\sqrt{g}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^3} + \sqrt{g} \frac{\omega^2}{g_1} \frac{1}{A^2},$$

$$\hat{L}_P(\omega) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^3} \frac{g_1}{\sqrt{g}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^3} + \sqrt{g} \frac{\omega^2}{g_2} \frac{1}{A^2}.$$
After substitution of expressions (13) and (14) into Eqs. (15) and (16), we obtain

\[ x_{T N}(\omega) = \frac{1}{l(1 - \frac{\omega}{\Omega_0})}, \]
\[ x_{P N}(\omega) = x_{T N} - \Delta N. \]

Further, if we substitute the function \( \Phi_{m \omega}(x_1, x_2) \) from Eq. (11) into Eq. (10), we obtain the ordinary differential equation defining the radial structure of the wave field:

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}(x_1 - x_{T N}(\omega)) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} R_N - \frac{m^2}{L^2} (x_1 - x_{P N}(\omega)) R_N = m q(x_1, \omega, m). \tag{17}
\]

See Klimushkin et al. (2004), Leonovich and Mazur (1997) for more detail. Here

\[
q(x_1, \omega, m) = \frac{q_0}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \Theta(t') \exp(i \omega t' - im \Omega_d x_2 t') dt',
\]
\[ q_0 = -\frac{el_0 \omega_0}{\Omega_0} (n_0 \sqrt{\kappa T}). \tag{18}
\]

The solution of the Eq. (17) satisfying the boundary condition (8) is

\[
R_N(x_1, \omega, m) = i q_0 L \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\kappa \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\omega \Theta(\kappa + m \Omega t') \Theta(\omega t) \times \exp \left[ i \omega t' + \frac{\kappa}{\Omega_0} \right] - im \Omega_d t' + i \kappa (xi - 1) + im \delta_N \frac{L}{\Omega} \left( \arctan \frac{\kappa L}{mL} + \arctan \frac{\kappa L}{\Omega} \right) \right] \tag{19}
\]

(see Appendix B). The notations are:

\[ \xi = x_1 / l, \quad \delta_N = \Delta N / l, \quad \Omega = \Omega_d. \]

5 The structure and evolution of the wave field

With the solution (10), we can solve the wave equation (7) by means of the reverse Fourier transform:

\[
\Phi(x_1, x_2, x_3, t) = \int d\omega \int dm \Phi_{m \omega} e^{im\varphi - i\omega t}. \tag{20}
\]

Thus, according to Eqs. (20, 11), the solution of the wave equation (7) is

\[
\Phi(x_1, x_2, x_3, t) = \mathcal{R}_N(x_1, x_2, t) T_N(x_1, x_3), \tag{21}
\]

where the function

\[
\mathcal{R}_N(x_1, x_2, t) = \int d\omega \int dm \mathcal{R}_N(m, \omega) e^{im\varphi - i\omega t}. \tag{22}
\]

defines both the transverse structure of the wave and its evolution. The expression for \( \mathcal{R}_N \) can be reduced to the form (see Appendix C):

\[
\mathcal{R}_N(x_1, x_2, t) = i q_0 L \mu \times \int d\omega \int d\kappa \Theta(\kappa + m \Omega t - \kappa) e^{i \varphi(m \kappa)} \times \left[ (\kappa^2 + \frac{m^2 l^2}{L^2})(\frac{L^2 (\Omega_0 t - \kappa)^2}{l^2} + (\mu + m)^2) \right]^{-1/2} \tag{23}
\]

where

\[
\varphi(m, \kappa) = m \varphi - m \Omega_0 t - \kappa + \kappa (\xi - 1) + m \delta_N \frac{L}{\Omega} \left( \arctan \frac{\kappa L}{mL} + \arctan \frac{\kappa L}{\Omega_0 t - \kappa} \right). \tag{24}
\]

Since the drift angular velocity \( \omega_d \) is assumed to be much less than the Alfvénic eigenfrequencies \( \Omega_{T N} \) and \( \Omega_{P N} \), the large parameter \( \mu = \Omega_0 / \Omega \) appears. In this case the double integral (23) can be evaluated by means of the stationary phase method (see Appendix D). Having done this calculation, we finally obtain the approximate expression for \( \mathcal{R}_N \):

\[
\mathcal{R}_N = A_0 e^{i \varphi_0} \tag{25}
\]

where the wave phase is

\[
\varphi_0 \equiv \mathcal{V}(\kappa_0, m_0) = m_0 (x_1(t)) \varphi - \Omega_{T N}(x_1(t)) t + k_a(x_1(t)) L \left[ \arctan \frac{k_0(x_1(t), \varphi, t)}{k_a(x_1(t))} + \arctan \frac{L \varphi}{x_1(t)} \right], \tag{26}
\]

and the amplitude is

\[
A_0 = \frac{i 2\pi q_0 L \mu \Theta(\varphi) \Theta(\omega_d(x_1(t)) t - \varphi)}{[k_r(x_1(t), \varphi, t)^2 + k_a(x_1(t))^2]^{1/2} [\Omega_0^2 + \Omega_d^2]^{1/2}}. \tag{27}
\]

The factor \( \Theta(\omega_d t - \varphi) \) in Eq. (27) shows that the wave field is absent before the source in this approximation. Also, the following designations are introduced here: the radial component of the wave vector

\[
k_r(x_1, \varphi, t) = \frac{\mu}{L} \left( \Omega - \varphi l^2 \right), \tag{28}
\]

the azimuthal component of the wave vector and the azimuthal wave number

\[
k_a(x_1) = \frac{\mu}{L} = \frac{m_0(x_1)}{L}, \quad m_0(x_1) = \frac{\Omega_{T N}(x_1)}{\omega_d(x_1)}. \tag{29}
\]
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6 Discussion

Let us discuss the main features of the solution obtained. The angular frequency of the wave is defined as

$$\omega = \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t} = \Omega_{TN} - \Delta N \frac{1 + k_r/k_a}{\Omega_0} \approx \Omega_{TN}. $$

Hence, the frequency depends on the radial coordinate $x^1$. Moreover, in the course of time $\omega$ is changed from $\Omega_{PN}$ to $\Omega_{TN}$. If we define the projection of the wave vector by means of a similar procedure, that is as partial derivatives of the phase (26) as $k_r = \partial \Psi / \partial r$, $k_a = \partial \Psi / \partial \phi$, then their expression will differ from Eqs. (28, 29) only by small values, proportional to the parameter $\delta N = \Delta N/l \ll 1$. That means that Eqs. (28, 29) can be safely used for needs of the qualitative discussion. As is seen from those expressions, the azimuthal wave number $m$ also depends on time, changing from $m_P = \Omega_{PN}/\omega_0$ to $m_T = \Omega_{TN}/\omega_0$. But this dependence is rather weak due to a small difference between toroidal and poloidal eigenfrequencies in cold plasma.

Much more important is a strong time dependence of the wave vector radial component. Near the line $\Omega t - \varphi / (l/x^1)^2 = 0$, this value is very small, $k_r \ll k_a$. As the source is moving away from the points on this curve, the radial component increases (Fig. 3). But according to Eqs. (6) and (25), the ratio between $k_r$ and $k_a$ defines the wave polarization: $|E_a/E_r| = k_a/k_r$. Thus, just after the generation the wave has a mixed polarization, and as the wave moves farther and farther away from the source, it becomes poloidally-polarized ($E_r \ll E_a$). Further, the wave finally transforms into a toroidally-polarized one ($E_r \gg E_a$). The characteristic transformation time is

$$\tau = 2 \frac{m_0 l}{\Omega_0 L}. $$

It is during this time span that the wave remained poloidally-polarized. An analogous transformation takes place also in the case of impulsive excitation (see, e.g. Klimushkin and Mager (2004)) with a similar transformation time $\tau \sim m/\omega$. Such a double change of polarization was absent in the model where the drift velocity does not depend on the radial coordinate (Mager and Klimushkin, 2007). In the model considered in the present paper the particle drift velocity grows with distance from the Earth. Consequently, the source stretches into strips at an acute angle to the surface $x^1 = \text{const}$ (as usual, the angle is measured counter-clockwise). Directly near the source the lines of the constant phase are parallel to the surface $x^1 = \text{const}$. At this point, the wave vector radial component equals zero, that is, the mode is poloidally polarized. Thus, the mode is poloidally polarized on the line passing through these points. Further, at a given point the constant phase line becomes inclined at an obtuse angle to the $x^1 = \text{const}$ axis. At this point, the wave vector radial component equals zero, that is, the mode is poloidally polarized. Thus, the mode is poloidally polarized on the line passing through these points. Further, at a given point the constant phase line becomes inclined at an obtuse angle to the $x^1 = \text{const}$ surface, that is, the wave vector radial component appears again. In the course of time, the angle is increasing, i.e. the ratio $|k_r/k_a|$ is increasing too and the wave becomes more and more toroidal. The above mentioned features are illustrated in Fig. 4, where the temporal evolution of the radial and azimuthal components
The radial component of the electric field.

The azimuthal component of the electric field.

The full electric field of the wave.

For this ordering, we used the normalization condition (12) for the eigenfunction $T_N$, which implies that $T_N \sim A/L$ and $(n_0 \sqrt{\alpha} T_N) \sim n_0 A L$. Further, from Eq. (6) we find the wave electric and magnetic fields:

\[
E \sim \frac{\mu}{L} \Phi \sim \frac{2\pi e n_0 L A^2}{c^2},
\]

\[
b \sim \frac{c}{A} E \sim \frac{2\pi e n_0 L A}{c}.
\]

Now, using Eq. (34), we can find which proton number density $n_0$ in the drifting cloud is necessary for the generation of Alfvén waves with observed magnetic field amplitudes $b$:

\[
n_0 \sim \frac{bc}{2\pi e L A}.
\]

The amplitude of azimuthally-small scale Alfvén waves in the magnetosphere can reach values of $b \sim 40 \times 10^{-5} \text{ Gs}$, their
periods are of the order of 100 s, azimuthal wave numbers \( m \approx 20 - 100 \), they are frequently observed in the vicinity of \( L \approx 6 \) magnetic shell (Chisham et al., 1992). The characteristic value of the Alfvén speed is \( \lambda \approx 1000 \text{km/s} \). To generate Alfvén waves with such properties the number density must be \( n_0 \approx 10^{-2} \text{sm}^{-3} \), which is much less than the background (cold) plasma density; the particle energy \( \epsilon \) determining the velocity of proton drift in an inhomogeneous magnetic field (the source speed \( \Omega \)) must be about 50 keV (the azimuthal wave number of the generated wave \( m \approx \omega / \Omega \)). The particles with such energies are often observed simultaneously with high-\( m \) oscillations.

7 Conclusions

Let us outline the general picture of the Alfvén wave generation by the moving plasma inhomogeneity. At a given point in the azimuthal coordinate, the wave appears just after the source arrival. Under the realistic assumptions of the particle energy and density in the moving source, the amplitudes of the generated oscillations are close to those really observed. The direction of the wave propagation coincides with the direction of the source movement, the wave polarization is intermediate between poloidal and toroidal. As the source moves off the given point, the wave transforms first into a poloidal and then into toroidal wave. Note the oscillatory structure of the wave field behind the source, which is a consequence of the finite size of the cloud along the field line (as we assumed the source to be distributed between the conjugated points of the ionosphere). Earlier, Zolotukhina (1974) and Neubauer (1980) showed that the Alfvén wave excited by a compact moving cloud (that is, by a source much shorter than the field line) constituted current (Alfvén) wings, spreading from the source. Since a finite source can be represented as a superposition of many compact sources, the oscillatory structure obtained in this paper can be viewed as a result of the interference of such wings. Just after the injection of the cloud, when the cloud is continuing to spread along the field line (within few first bounce periods), the wave field probably resembles pure Alfvén wings. However, the evolution just after the injection has not been considered in this paper.

The mechanism considered in the paper provides a way for explaining the features of the observed azimuthally small scale waves mentioned in the Introduction:

1. The azimuthal wave number \( m \) is fully determined by the eigenfrequency of the wave standing between ionospheres, \( \omega \sim \Omega_T N(x^3) \), and the drift velocity of the source, \( \omega_d \): \( m \approx \omega / \omega_d \). This explains why observed waves have well-defined \( m \) values.

2. In accordance with the observations, the phase velocity of the poloidal Alfvén waves coincides with the direction of the proton drift.

3. Despite its transformation from poloidal to toroidal, at a given point in azimuth the wave remains poloidal during a rather large time span \( \tau \approx m / \omega \omega_d^{-1} \), which corresponds to a sufficiently large angular distance from the source \( \phi = \Omega \tau \approx 1 \). Besides, in some sense the wave is always poloidal, because the source continues its azimuthal movement, generating the poloidal wave at new points.

4. If the wave attenuation is taken into account (for example, due to finite conductivity of the ionosphere or wave-particle interaction), then the wave does not have enough time to transform into toroidal, and the maximum wave amplitude will correspond to a mixed polarization, depending on the observation point.

5. Besides, the wave damping leads to a localization across the magnetic shells, which corresponds to observational data for the high-\( m \) waves. If the azimuthal coordinate is fixed, in the course of time the localization region will shift toward the Earth.

As was mentioned in the Introduction, some of these features of the high-\( m \) waves cannot be explained by the theory of drift-bounce instability. It must be noted, however, that the wave-particle energy exchange can lead to a further amplification or attenuation of the wave. Besides, a ponderomotive force can appear, which governs feedback of the generated field upon the generating particles, which can result in the formation of the stationary ring current. However, these questions are far beyond the topic of the present paper.

The most intriguing feature of the wave evolution is the double change of the wave polarization, resulting from the supposed growth of the drift velocity with the radial coordinate; in this case the source is stretched into strips at an acute angle to the magnetic shells. This feature can be used for the verification of the generation of the wave by a moving source as it represents a trademark signature of this mechanism. Certainly, the opposite case, when the drift velocity decreases with the L-shell, seems to be more common. But in this case the evolution is not so interesting, because the angle of the source to the magnetic shells is obtuse now, and the wave polarization is toroidal from the very beginning and remains toroidal till the wave disappears, as in the simplest case of the impulse-generated waves (see, e.g. Klimushkin and Mager, 2004). Thus, in this case we do not have a simple key to distinguish our mechanism from the drift-bounce instability.
Appendix A

The derivation of Eq. (7)

Fourier-transformation of Eq. (7):

\begin{equation}
\frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\varphi \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dt e^{i\omega_{\varphi} t} \mathcal{L}_A \Phi = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\varphi \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dt e^{i\omega_{\varphi} t} \frac{4\pi}{c^2} \sqrt{g} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} j_{\text{ext}}^2.
\end{equation}

We replace \( x^2 \) by \( \varphi \) in \( \mathcal{L}_A \) and the right side of this equation. Since \( g_{1,2} \) and \( g \) are independent of \( \varphi \) and \( t \) then for the left side of this equation we have

\begin{equation}
- \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2} g \frac{1}{g_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2} g_1 \frac{1}{A^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2} A \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\varphi \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dt e^{i\omega_{\varphi} t} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \Phi
\end{equation}

After integrating by parts we obtain:

\begin{equation}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x^2} g \frac{1}{g_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2} g_1 \frac{1}{A^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2} A \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\varphi \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dt e^{i\omega_{\varphi} t} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \Phi
\end{equation}

Here \( 1/(2\pi)^2 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\varphi \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dt e^{i\omega_{\varphi} t} \Phi \equiv \Phi_{\text{moa}} \).

For the right side, after substituting \( j_{\text{ext}}^2 \) in the explicit form (9) we have

\begin{equation}
\frac{4\pi}{c^2} \sqrt{g} e_n \omega_d \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\varphi \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dt e^{i\omega_{\varphi} t} \frac{\partial \partial t \delta(\varphi - \omega_{\varphi} t) \Theta(t)}{\partial \partial t}.
\end{equation}

We solve Eq. (17) by means of the Fourier transform. Let us put

\begin{equation}
R_N(x^1) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{ikx^1} R_N(k) dk,
\end{equation}

then

\begin{equation}
R_N(k) = ik \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{q(k')}{(k'^2 + k_y^2)(k'^2 + k_x^2)} e^{i(k - k') x^1} \Theta(t + t') dt\, dt'.
\end{equation}

Then we integrate over \( k' \), substitute the expression obtained into Eq. (B1) and introduce new variables \( x^1 = l\xi, k = \kappa/L \). As a result we obtain Eq. (19).

Appendix C

The derivation of Eq. (23)

After substitution of Eq. (19) into Eq. (22) we obtain the expression for \( \mathcal{R}_N \) as the integral

\begin{equation}
\mathcal{R}_N = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{q_0}{2\pi} e^{i(k - k') x^1 - i\kappa x^1} \Theta(t + t') dt\, dt\, dm\, dk \end{equation}

\( A = \frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial \kappa^2} \bigg|_{m_0, \kappa_0} \approx \frac{\delta N}{\mu} \), \\
\( B = \frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial m^2} \bigg|_{m_0, \kappa_0} \approx 2\frac{\xi}{\mu} \), \\
\( C = \frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial \kappa \partial m} \bigg|_{m_0, \kappa_0} \approx \frac{\xi^2}{\mu} \phi \).

It is seen that \( A \ll C, B \). Thus, we have
\[
A_0 = \tilde{A}_0 \int dm \int d\kappa e^{i \frac{\xi}{\mu} (m - m_0)^2} \int d\kappa e^{i \frac{\xi}{\mu} \phi (\kappa - \kappa_0)(m - m_0)} = \\
= \tilde{A}_0 \int dm e^{i \frac{\xi}{\mu} (m - m_0)^2} \frac{2\pi \mu}{\xi^2} \delta (m - m_0) = \tilde{A}_0 2\pi \frac{\mu}{\xi^2}.
\]

As a result, after some algebra, we obtain the expression (27) for the wave amplitude.
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